Affordable Housing in Dunsford Feedback

In November 2022 Dunsford Parish Council (DPC) distributed a leaflet to every household in the Parish with an update on the affordable housing project. This report summaries the responses.

296 properties were leafleted. 204 properties in the core village and 92 in outlying properties. There were 59 replies (20%). 11 replies were hard copy and 48 were by email. Replies were slightly higher in the core village at 29%. This compares to the 32% return to the 2019 Housing Needs Survey.

	Support the	Against the	% against living	Neither for nor
	proposed site	proposed site	near the	against
			Proposed Site	
Total	25	25	28	9
replies				
Nos	39	32	38	12
signatures				

Thank You for your response

The table below summarises the comments and questions raised. Comments are grouped into topics and are not in any particular order. Where possible more information has been provided by the Housing Working Group on behalf of the Parish Council to explain the process being followed.

This was an invitation to comment on the site location rather than a survey. The project is still in very early stages which means that there is no information on access, layout, design and no technical surveys have been commissioned.

Why only this site?

A recurring question is why this site and why haven't you been consulted on other sites.

This site was one of six sites being actively looked at by the Housing Working Group. The Working Group were following a sequential testing process against key constraints, opportunities of each site, (particularly opportunities for car parking) and the site availability as an exception site, at exception site values. That technical process had not been completed which is why further consultation had not taken place. However, this site had scored highly in the process.

The reason this site has come forward sooner is that a small developer, separately to the work of the Working Group and Hastoe Housing, obtained an option for this site with a view to making a planning application as a rural exception site for affordable housing. The Parish Council's Housing Working Group has asked the site agent to engage with the community and Hastoe with a view to trying to ensure any development on the site meets the community's needs. This is because unless there is evidence of high levels of housing need requiring 2 rural exception sites for affordable housing sites only one site is likely to be supported by Planning Policy. The site agent acts as the landowner as they have an option on the land.

A pre-planning enquiry has been made to Teignbridge planners by the site agent to obtain guidance on the planners' requirements for the site. If a project does come forward then the Parish Council will be asking the site agent for this site for further details to be provided so that further parish wide consultation can take place.

Summary of Comments and Questions

	Subject	Your Responses and questions	Initial Response
1	Site Location	 More information requested on why alternative sites have not been considered with the 5 alternative sites mentioned. Request for the other sites to continue to be explored and for consultation on those sites. Good site as away from flood plain Support as close to the village. Concern that there may be further development in future creating an 'imbalance' in the village. Risk of 'development creep' or expansion of the site 'The parish council and the Park should do what's actually right for our particular village, having fully taken into account all the factors such as the environment, biodiversity, infrastructure, visual impact, traffic, sustainability etc. If there isn't a suitable site then we shouldn't rush into building at this stage'. Will impact what is a 'beautiful approach' to the village the lower approach has been spoilt by newer homes Site may be suitable but a key issue will be what the homes look like. 	The Working Group set up by DPC is looking at 6 sites. This process has not been concluded. Separately, a small developer obtained an option for this site with a view to making a planning application which is why the focus is on this site. The DPC Working group has asked them to work with the community, Hastoe Housing and the working group set up by the Parish Council. The DPC Woking Group process involved looking at the opportunities and constraints of each site. This is important as planning requires a sequential flood risk assessment which means the site with the lowest flood risk must be considered first.
2	Community Infrastructure	 How, and if, the site can make a contribution to any community infrastructure with most focus on parking and suitability of the site for parking. Site not suitable for a village carpark without a pavement to the site. 	The site consulted on is in Teignbridge. The other 5 were in Dartmoor National Park. Teignbridge Local Plan does not allow cross subsidy from open market homes on a rural exception site for community 'infrastructure' only for affordable housing if grant is not available. Dartmoor Local Plan does allow limited cross subsidy. Hastoe Housing (a registered Housing Association

			specialising in rural affordable housing) can help facilitate community projects but cannot directly fund community assets that are not affordable housing which means separate funding would be needed.
3	Visual Impact of the site	 The location of the site on rising ground and proximity to the conservation area. Design on approach to the village will be important. Site will be visible from the top of Six Mile Hill and from down the valley and will outstanding natural amenity. The field is much higher than the road. Site is on one of the highest points in the village. Dunsford has an above average proportion of listed and thatched properties. 	Any application will need a formal visual impact assessment and Dartmoor National Park Authority's formal comments have been requested by Teignbridge.
4	Loss of agricultural land	'quality farm land should be protected at all costs.'	
5	Drainage 1. Sewerage 2. Surface water/storm water	 Ongoing concerns about storm water provision and impact on 'sewerage'/foul water drainage. Surface water run-off has been a problem in the past, particularly down Reedy Hill. 'There would be a prima facie case against the development in terms of compliance with the Water Framework Directive as well as other environmental legislation and decisions inter alia The Dutch Case'. 	Formal flood risk assessments have not been carried out and are required for any planning application.
6	Biodiversity of the site	 Alignment with DPC's Climate Initiative questioned. Loss of hedges and hedge-banks. Bats use both lanes adjacent to the fields to fly along. Cirl Bunting now present in the Teign Valley. 	A Preliminary Ecological Survey will be required if an application is made and ecological mitigation identified including Biodiversity Net Gain requirements which come into force in November 2023
7	Sustainability of the village	No local jobs and travel to work needed	

8	Pedestrian and	Concern about there being a	This will require a formal
8	vehicular access	dangerous corner and 'rat-run'.	assessment as part of a
	vernealar access	2. Lack of street lighting.	planning application.
		3. Lack of room for a pavement to	Promise approximation
		the site.	
		4. Lack of passing places on Reedy	
		Hill for vehicles and increase in	
		lorries. Need for a traffic census.	
		5. Blind corners, access directly onto	
		lane from cottages.	
		6. Icy in winter.	
9	Lack of detail	Further detail asked for regarding:	This detail has not yet been
	provided on the	1. The number of homes.	worked on. Teignbridge Local
	proposal	2. The tenure of the homes, need for	Plan does have policy for rural
		shared ownership, level of open market homes, need for rented	exception sites. Dunsford PC will be asking for further
		homes.	consultation on layout and
		3. Design of the home	design detail.
		4. Examples of other affordable	
		schemes on Dartmoor and	The 2019 Housing Needs
		concern on design with 'strong	Survey provided evidence for
		dislike of 'boxes' such as those	up to 10 affordable homes. TDC
		built at Cheriton Bishop'.	require 70% as affordable rent
			and 30% as Shared Ownership,
			subject to financial viability
10	Scale of the Site	Concern on potential scale of	
		proposals and impact on the village character.	
		2. Concern about future expansion	
		of the site, creating an adverse	
		visual impact and imbalance in the	
		village. (See also box 1.6).	
11	The location of	Could the housing be on an infill site	The proposal is for a rural
	the site on the	rather than the edge or 'curtiledge' of	exception site. This is a formal
	edge of the	the village? This might enable smaller	planning policy for affordable
	village rather	groups of homes?	homes. The Local Plan sets out
	than the centre.		where exception sites can be
			located.
			Infill sites do not have to
12	Risk of future		provide affordable housing.
12	right to buy and		The only way to avoid any risk of right to buy is if
	homes not		Dunsford set up a
	being affordable		community land trust
	in the future		who would own the
			homes
13	Evidence of	1. Several responses highlighting the	Exception sites do require
	housing need.	need for affordable housing for	evidence of housing need from
1		•	ı
		local people and support for the site.	locally, qualifying people.

2. Vital to have affordable homes for The current survey was carried local people. out in 2019. Planning policy will 3. it is very hard if not impossible for accept a survey of up to 5 years local families to pay the high old but often a refresh is prices currently asked for homes carried out after 3 years. in Dunsford. 4. Support for rented homes more DPC can look at existing than shared ownership. affordable housing and its 5. Please to see affordable housing turnover. but concerns about this site and too many homes for cross subsidy. 6. Request that a survey of openmarket housing need be carried out. Need for a range of house types. 7. Request that a new Housing Needs Survey closer to planning application date in order to ensure the supply fits the demand. The s106 agreement sets out 8. A view that there is already priorities for the housing. adequate affordable housing in People with a Local Connection the parishes and applicants could to Dunsford have priority for access housing nearby. any local houses built in 9. 'you are still not asking the basic Dunsford but would not be question. Do you think the village prioritised first for any should have 10 or more affordable affordable homes in adjoining houses built in this or any other Parishes. site in/adjacent to the village'. 10. Two responders interested in selfbuild. 14 What is meant Applicants will need both a Will the homes really go to local by Local People people? Local Connection and to meet 2. The definition of this [Local the income limits to qualify for People] needs to be clear. affordable housing. To qualify 3. How would they be owned and for a rented the applicant must administered - Housing be eligible to register on Devon Association or Council? Home Choice. How to qualify 4. The site highlighted is obviously for Shared Ownership is set out outside of the National Park and I in national policy. would therefore be concerned that it couldn't be offered to The proposal is for a Rgistered people from in the National Park. Provider also called a Housing Association to own and manage the homes. Hastoe Homes have been working with the Working Group and would need to negotiate with the developer.

15	The process of	1. Request to	see the FAQs	The Housing Working Group
	consultation	promised.		will work with the site agent to
	and	2. 'No update	on [DPC] website since	request further consultation on
	engagement	April 2022'		this site. Dunsford PC wants to
	with the	3. 'We feel or	en meetings with the	make as much information
	community.	village wou	ıld have helped	available as they can when it is
		Whether b	y design or omission we	available.
		have felt e	kcluded, raising	
		suspicions	about why a more open	
		discussion	has been avoided'.	
		4. Democracy	– you have not been	
		clear on how people should		
		respond ar	d what inferences will	
		be drawn from the data. You have		
		issued one leaflet per household		
		but you are essentially canvassing		
		the electoral franchise in the		
		village. I have one leaflet but		
		three adults in my household and		
		it really ought to be clear whether		
		responses are joint or collective,		
16	Housing	One request w	as that the DPC review	The Terms of Reference for the
	Working Group	membership of	the Working Group to	HWG have been reviewed and
	membership	•	resentative, particularly	are available. This includes how
		in relation to P	arish Council Members'	potential conflicts of interest
		proximity to the sites considered		are addressed.